

Module 4: Duality Theory (Strong Duality)

Recap: Weak Duality

(P_{\max})		(P_{\min})	
max	$c^T x$	\leq constraint	≥ 0 variable
subject to		$=$ constraint	free variable
	$Ax \leq b$	\geq constraint	≤ 0 variable
	$x \geq 0$	≥ 0 variable	\geq constraint
		free variable	$=$ constraint
		≤ 0 variable	\leq constraint
			min
			subject to
			$b^T y$
			$A^T y \leq c$
			$y \geq 0$

Last lecture: we described a method to construct the dual of a general linear program.

Recap: Weak Duality

(P_{\max})		(P_{\min})	
max	$c^T x$	\leq constraint	≥ 0 variable
subject to	$Ax \leq b$	$=$ constraint	free variable
	$x \geq 0$	\geq constraint	≤ 0 variable
		≥ 0 variable	\geq constraint
		free variable	$=$ constraint
		≤ 0 variable	\leq constraint
			min $b^T y$
			subject to
			$A^T y \leq c$
			$y \geq 0$

Last lecture: we described a method to construct the dual of a general linear program.

E.g.: consider the primal LP, (P), on the right

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max (2, -1, 3)x && (P) \\
 & \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \begin{matrix} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \text{ free}
 \end{aligned}$$

Recap: Weak Duality

(P_{\max})			(P_{\min})		
max	$c^T x$	\leq constraint	≥ 0 variable	min	$b^T y$
subject to		$=$ constraint	free variable	subject to	
	$Ax \leq b$	\geq constraint	≤ 0 variable		$A^T y \leq c$
	$x \geq 0$	≥ 0 variable	\geq constraint		$y \geq 0$
		free variable	$=$ constraint		
		≤ 0 variable	\leq constraint		

Last lecture: we described a method to construct the dual of a general linear program.

E.g.: consider the primal LP, (P), on the right – a **max LP** that falls in the **left (P_{\max}) part** of the table.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max (2, -1, 3)x && (P) \\
 & \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \begin{matrix} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \\
 & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \text{ free}
 \end{aligned}$$

Recap: Weak Duality

(P_{\max})		(P_{\min})	
max	$c^T x$	\leq constraint	≥ 0 variable
subject to		$=$ constraint	free variable
	$Ax \leq b$	\geq constraint	≤ 0 variable
	$x \geq 0$	≥ 0 variable	\geq constraint
		free variable	$=$ constraint
		≤ 0 variable	\leq constraint
			min $b^T y$
			subject to
			$A^T y \leq c$
			$y \geq 0$

Last lecture: we described a method to construct the dual of a general linear program.

E.g.: consider the primal LP, (P), on the right – a **max LP** that falls in the **left (P_{\max}) part** of the table.

→ The dual of (P) is a **min LP**.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max (2, -1, 3)x && (P) \\
 & \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \begin{matrix} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \\
 & \quad \quad \quad x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \text{ free}
 \end{aligned}$$

Recap: Weak Duality

(P_{\max})		(P_{\min})	
max	$c^T x$	\leq constraint	≥ 0 variable
subject to	$Ax \ ? \ b$	$=$ constraint	free variable
	$x \ ? \ 0$	\geq constraint	≤ 0 variable
		≥ 0 variable	\geq constraint
		free variable	$=$ constraint
		≤ 0 variable	\leq constraint
			min $b^T y$
			subject to
			$A^T y \ ? \ c$
			$y \ ? \ 0$

$$\max (2, -1, 3)x \quad (P)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \begin{matrix} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \text{ free}$$

$$\min (2, 1, -2)y \quad (D)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y \ ? \ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y \ ? \ 0$$

Recap: Weak Duality

(P_{\max})			(P_{\min})		
max	$c^T x$	\leq constraint	≥ 0 variable	min	$b^T y$
subject to		$=$ constraint	free variable	subject to	
	$Ax \ ? \ b$	\geq constraint	≤ 0 variable		
	$x \ ? \ 0$	≥ 0 variable	\geq constraint		$A^T y \ ? \ c$
		free variable	$=$ constraint		$y \ ? \ 0$
		≤ 0 variable	\leq constraint		

$$\max (2, -1, 3)x \quad (P)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \begin{matrix} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \text{ free}$$

$$\min (2, 1, -2)y \quad (D)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y \ ? \ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \text{ free}, y_3 \leq 0$$

Recap: Weak Duality

(P_{\max})			(P_{\min})		
max	$c^T x$	\leq constraint	≥ 0 variable	min	$b^T y$
subject to		$=$ constraint	free variable	subject to	
	$Ax \leq b$	\geq constraint	≤ 0 variable		$A^T y \leq c$
	$x \geq 0$	≥ 0 variable	\geq constraint		$y \geq 0$
		free variable	$=$ constraint		
		≤ 0 variable	\leq constraint		

$$\max (2, -1, 3)x \quad (P)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \begin{matrix} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \text{ free}$$

$$\min (2, 1, -2)y \quad (D)$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y \begin{matrix} \geq \\ \leq \\ = \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \text{ free}, y_3 \leq 0$$

Recap: Weak Duality

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max (2, -1, 3)x & \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t. } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \\ x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \text{ free} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \min (2, 1, -2)y & \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t. } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y \begin{array}{l} \geq \\ \leq \\ = \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \text{ free}, y_3 \leq 0 \end{array}$$

Weak Duality Theorem

if \bar{x} is feasible for (P) and \bar{y} is feasible for (D),

$$\implies c^T \bar{x} \leq b^T \bar{y}$$

Recap: Weak Duality

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max (2, -1, 3)x & \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t. } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x & \begin{array}{l} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \geq \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \\ x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \leq 0, x_3 \text{ free} & \end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{ll} \min (2, 1, -2)y & \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t. } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} y & \begin{array}{l} \geq \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \leq \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \\ y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \text{ free}, y_3 \leq 0 & \end{array}$$

Weak Duality Theorem

if \bar{x} is feasible for (P) and \bar{y} is feasible for (D),

$$\implies c^T \bar{x} \leq b^T \bar{y}$$

If $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$, then **both** \bar{x} and \bar{y} are optimal.

This Lecture: Strong Duality

(P _{max})			(P _{min})		
max	$c^T x$	\leq constraint	≥ 0 variable	min	$b^T y$
subject to		$=$ constraint	free variable	subject to	
	$Ax \leq b$	\geq constraint	≤ 0 variable		$A^T y \leq c$
	$x \geq 0$	≥ 0 variable	\geq constraint		$y \geq 0$
		free variable	$=$ constraint		
		≤ 0 variable	\leq constraint		

Question

Can we always find feasible solutions \bar{x} and \bar{y} to a primal-dual pair, (P_{max}), (P_{min}), such that $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$?

This Lecture: Strong Duality

(P_{\max})			(P_{\min})		
max	$c^T x$	\leq constraint	≥ 0 variable	min	$b^T y$
subject to		$=$ constraint	free variable	subject to	
	$Ax \leq b$	\geq constraint	≤ 0 variable		$A^T y \leq c$
	$x \geq 0$	≥ 0 variable	\geq constraint		$y \geq 0$
		free variable	$=$ constraint		
		≤ 0 variable	\leq constraint		

Question

Can we always find feasible solutions \bar{x} and \bar{y} to a primal-dual pair, (P_{\max}) , (P_{\min}) , such that $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$?

Strong Duality Theorem

If (P_{\max}) has an optimal solution \bar{x} , then (P_{\min}) has an optimal solution \bar{y} such that $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$.

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

Let us prove the **Strong Duality Theorem** in the special case where (P) is in SEF.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

Let us prove the **Strong Duality Theorem** in the special case where (P) is in SEF.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y && \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

Let us prove the **Strong Duality Theorem** in the special case where (P) is in SEF.

Let's assume (P) has an optimal solution.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y && \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

Let us prove the **Strong Duality Theorem** in the special case where (P) is in SEF.

Let's assume (P) has an optimal solution.

→ 2-Phase Simplex terminates with an **optimal basis** B

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y && \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

Let us prove the **Strong Duality Theorem** in the special case where (P) is in SEF.

Let's assume (P) has an optimal solution.

→ 2-Phase Simplex terminates with an **optimal basis** B (Why?)

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y && \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

Let us prove the **Strong Duality Theorem** in the special case where (P) is in SEF.

Let's assume (P) has an optimal solution.

→ 2-Phase Simplex terminates with an **optimal basis B** (Why?)

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x && \text{(P')} \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x &&& \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T y &&& \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t. } A^T y &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

Let us prove the **Strong Duality Theorem** in the special case where (P) is in SEF.

Let's assume (P) has an optimal solution.

→ 2-Phase Simplex terminates with an **optimal basis B** (Why?)

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\max z = \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x \quad (\text{P}')$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N = A_B^{-1} b$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$$\max c^T x \quad (\text{P})$$

$$\text{s.t. } Ax = b$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$$\min b^T y \quad (\text{D})$$

$$\text{s.t. } A^T y \geq c$$

where:

$$\bar{y} = A_B^{-T} c_B$$

$$\bar{c}^T = c^T - \bar{y}^T A$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

Let us prove the **Strong Duality Theorem** in the special case where (P) is in SEF.

Let's assume (P) has an optimal solution.

→ 2-Phase Simplex terminates with an **optimal basis B** (Why?)

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\max z = \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x \quad (\text{P}')$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N = A_B^{-1} b$$

$$x \geq 0$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$

$$\max c^T x \quad (\text{P})$$

$$\text{s.t. } Ax = b$$

$$x \geq 0$$

$$\min b^T y \quad (\text{D})$$

$$\text{s.t. } A^T y \geq c$$

where:

$$\bar{y} = A_B^{-T} c_B$$

$$\bar{c}^T = c^T - \bar{y}^T A$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x && \text{(P')} \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x &&& \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$

Recall that (P) and (P') are equivalent!

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T y &&& \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t. } A^T y &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x && \text{(P')} \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x &&& \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$

Recall that (P) and (P') are **equivalent!**

→ \bar{x} is feasible in (P), and has **same objective value** in (P) and (P')

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T y &&& \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t. } A^T y &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x && \text{(P')} \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x &&& \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$

Recall that (P) and (P') are **equivalent!**

→ \bar{x} is feasible in (P), and has **same objective value** in (P) and (P')

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T y &&& \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t. } A^T y &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$c^T \bar{x} = \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T \bar{x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x & (\text{P}') \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x & & (\text{P}) \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$

Recall that (P) and (P') are **equivalent!**

→ \bar{x} is feasible in (P), and has **same objective value** in (P) and (P')

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T y & & (\text{D}) \\ \text{s.t. } A^T y &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} c^T \bar{x} &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T \bar{x} \\ &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}_N^T \bar{x}_N \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x & (\text{P}') \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x & & (\text{P}) \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$

Recall that (P) and (P') are **equivalent!**

→ \bar{x} is feasible in (P), and has **same objective value** in (P) and (P')

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T y & & (\text{D}) \\ \text{s.t. } A^T y &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} c^T \bar{x} &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T \bar{x} \\ &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}_N^T \bar{x}_N \\ &= b^T \bar{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x & (\text{P}') \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x & & (\text{P}) \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$

Recall that (P) and (P') are **equivalent!**

→ \bar{x} is feasible in (P), and has **same objective value** in (P) and (P')

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T \bar{y} & & (\text{D}) \\ \text{s.t. } A^T \bar{y} &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} c^T \bar{x} &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T \bar{x} \\ &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}_N^T \bar{x}_N \\ &= b^T \bar{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Goal: Show that \bar{y} is dual feasible.

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x & (\text{P}') \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$ and
 $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x & & (\text{P}) \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T y & & (\text{D}) \\ \text{s.t. } A^T y &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x & (\text{P}') \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$ and
 $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$.

Note that B is an optimal basis $\rightarrow \bar{c} \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x & & (\text{P}) \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T y & & (\text{D}) \\ \text{s.t. } A^T y &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x & (\text{P}') \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$ and
 $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$.

Note that B is an optimal basis $\rightarrow \bar{c} \leq 0$

$$\rightarrow c^T - \bar{y}^T A \leq 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x & & (\text{P}) \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T y & & (\text{D}) \\ \text{s.t. } A^T y &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x & (\text{P}') \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x & & (\text{P}) \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$ and
 $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T \bar{y} & & (\text{D}) \\ \text{s.t. } A^T \bar{y} &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

Note that B is an optimal basis $\rightarrow \bar{c} \leq 0$

$$\rightarrow c^T - \bar{y}^T A \leq 0$$

Equivalently, $A^T \bar{y} \geq c$,

where:

$$\bar{y} = A_B^{-T} c_B$$

$$\bar{c}^T = c^T - \bar{y}^T A$$

Strong Duality – for LPs in SEF

We can rewrite (P) for basis B :

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= \bar{y}^T b + \bar{c}^T x & (\text{P}') \\ \text{s.t. } x_B + A_B^{-1} A_N x_N &= A_B^{-1} b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max c^T x & & (\text{P}) \\ \text{s.t. } Ax &= b \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and: $\bar{x}_B = A_B^{-1} b$ and $\bar{x}_N = 0$ and
 $c^T \bar{x} = b^T \bar{y}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \min b^T \bar{y} & & (\text{D}) \\ \text{s.t. } A^T \bar{y} &\geq c \end{aligned}$$

Note that B is an optimal basis $\rightarrow \bar{c} \leq 0$

$$\rightarrow c^T - \bar{y}^T A \leq 0$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= A_B^{-T} c_B \\ \bar{c}^T &= c^T - \bar{y}^T A \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, $A^T \bar{y} \geq c$,
meaning \bar{y} is dual feasible!

Strong Duality Theorem

Strong Duality Theorem

Let (P) and (D) be a **primal-dual pair** of LPs. If (P) has an optimal solution, then (D) has one, and their objective values equal.

Strong Duality Theorem

Strong Duality Theorem

Let (P) and (D) be a **primal-dual pair** of LPs. If (P) has an optimal solution, then (D) has one, and their objective values equal.

Note: (P) is feasible and (D) is feasible \longrightarrow (P) cannot be unbounded

Strong Duality Theorem

Strong Duality Theorem

Let (P) and (D) be a **primal-dual pair** of LPs. If (P) has an optimal solution, then (D) has one, and their objective values equal.

Note: (P) is feasible and (D) is feasible \longrightarrow (P) cannot be unbounded
Fundamental Theorem of LP \longrightarrow (P) has an optimal solution.

Strong Duality Theorem

Strong Duality Theorem

Let (P) and (D) be a **primal-dual pair** of LPs. If (P) has an optimal solution, then (D) has one, and their objective values equal.

Note: (P) is feasible and (D) is feasible \longrightarrow (P) cannot be unbounded
Fundamental Theorem of LP \longrightarrow (P) has an optimal solution.

Subtly different version via previous results:

Strong Duality Theorem – Feasibility Version

Let (P) and (D) be primal-dual pair of LPs. If **both are feasible**, then both have optimal solutions of the same objective value.

Possible Outcomes of Primal-Dual Pair (P), (D)

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution			
unbounded			
infeasible			

Possible Outcomes of Primal-Dual Pair (P), (D)

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution	possible ①		
unbounded			possible ⑥
infeasible		possible ⑧	

- ①, ⑥, and ⑧ many examples exist

Possible Outcomes of Primal-Dual Pair (P), (D)

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution	possible ①	impossible ②	
unbounded			possible ⑥
infeasible		possible ⑧	

- ①, ⑥, and ⑧ many examples exist
- ② follows directly from Weak Duality as follows:

Possible Outcomes of Primal-Dual Pair (P), (D)

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution	possible ①	impossible ②	
unbounded			possible ⑥
infeasible		possible ⑧	

- ①, ⑥, and ⑧ many examples exist
- ② follows directly from Weak Duality as follows:

Suppose, for a contradiction, that (D) has an optimal solution \bar{y} .

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x \quad (P) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y \quad (D) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Possible Outcomes of Primal-Dual Pair (P), (D)

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution	possible ①	impossible ②	
unbounded			possible ⑥
infeasible		possible ⑧	

- ①, ⑥, and ⑧ many examples exist
- ② follows directly from Weak Duality as follows:

Suppose, **for a contradiction**, that (D) has an optimal solution \bar{y} .

$c^T \bar{x} \leq b^T \bar{y}$ for all feasible primal solutions \bar{x} by Weak Duality

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y && \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Possible Outcomes of Primal-Dual Pair (P), (D)

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution	possible ①	impossible ②	
unbounded			possible ⑥
infeasible		possible ⑧	

- ①, ⑥, and ⑧ many examples exist
- ② follows directly from Weak Duality as follows:

Suppose, **for a contradiction**, that (D) has an optimal solution \bar{y} .

$c^T \bar{x} \leq b^T \bar{y}$ for all feasible primal solutions \bar{x}
 by Weak Duality \rightarrow (P) is bounded!

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y && \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Possible Outcomes of Primal-Dual Pair (P), (D)

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution	possible ①	impossible ②	
unbounded	impossible ④	impossible ⑤	possible ⑥
infeasible		possible ⑧	

- ①, ⑥, and ⑧ many examples exist
- ② follows directly from Weak Duality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose, **for a contradiction**, that (D) has an optimal solution \bar{y} .

$c^T \bar{x} \leq b^T \bar{y}$ for all feasible primal solutions \bar{x}
by Weak Duality \rightarrow (P) is bounded!

Similar arguments apply to ④ and ⑤

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y && \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Possible Outcomes of Primal-Dual Pair (P), (D)

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution	possible ①	impossible ②	impossible ③
unbounded	impossible ④	impossible ⑤	possible ⑥
infeasible	impossible ⑦	possible ⑧	

- ①, ⑥, and ⑧ many examples exist
- ② follows directly from Weak Duality as follows:

Suppose, **for a contradiction**, that (D) has an optimal solution \bar{y} .

$c^T \bar{x} \leq b^T \bar{y}$ for all feasible primal solutions \bar{x}
by Weak Duality \rightarrow (P) is bounded!

Similar arguments apply to ④ and ⑤

- ③, ⑦ follow directly from Strong Duality

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y && \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Possible Outcomes of Primal-Dual Pair (P), (D)

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution	possible ①	impossible ②	impossible ③
unbounded	impossible ④	impossible ⑤	possible ⑥
infeasible	impossible ⑦	possible ⑧	possible ⑨

- ①, ⑥, and ⑧ many examples exist
- ② follows directly from Weak Duality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x && \text{(P)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose, **for a contradiction**, that (D) has an optimal solution \bar{y} .

$c^T \bar{x} \leq b^T \bar{y}$ for all feasible primal solutions \bar{x}
by Weak Duality \rightarrow (P) is bounded!

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & b^T y && \text{(D)} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T y \geq c \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments apply to ④ and ⑤

- ③, ⑦ follow directly from Strong Duality
- I'll leave ⑨ for you to do as an exercise!

Recap

Strong Duality Theorem

Let (P) and (D) be a **primal-dual pair** of LPs. If (P) has an optimal solution, then (D) has one, and their objective values equal.

Recap

Strong Duality Theorem

Let (P) and (D) be a **primal-dual pair** of LPs. If (P) has an optimal solution, then (D) has one, and their objective values equal.

(D) \ (P)	optimal solution	unbounded	infeasible
optimal solution	possible ①	impossible ②	impossible ③
unbounded	impossible ④	impossible ⑤	possible ⑥
infeasible	impossible ⑦	possible ⑧	possible ⑨