Module 1: Formulations (IP Models)

WaterTech

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 300x_1 + 260x_2 + 220x_3 + 180x_4 - 8y_s - 6y_u \\ \text{s.t.} & 11x_1 + 7x_2 + 6x_3 + 5x_4 \leq 700 \\ & 4x_1 + 6x_2 + 5x_3 + 4x_4 \leq 500 \\ & 8x_1 + 5x_2 + 5x_3 + 6x_4 \leq y_s \\ & 7x_1 + 8x_2 + 7x_3 + 4x_4 \leq y_u \\ & y_s \leq 600 \\ & y_u \leq 650 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_u, y_s \geq 0. \end{array}$$

WaterTech

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 300x_1 + 260x_2 + 220x_3 + 180x_4 - 8y_s - 6y_u \\ \text{s.t.} & 11x_1 + 7x_2 + 6x_3 + 5x_4 \leq 700 \\ & 4x_1 + 6x_2 + 5x_3 + 4x_4 \leq 500 \\ & 8x_1 + 5x_2 + 5x_3 + 6x_4 \leq y_s \\ & 7x_1 + 8x_2 + 7x_3 + 4x_4 \leq y_u \\ & y_s \leq 600 \\ & y_u \leq 650 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_u, y_s \geq 0. \end{array}$$

Optimal solution:
$$x = (16 + \frac{2}{3}, 50, 0, 33 + \frac{1}{3})^T$$
, $y_s = 583 + \frac{1}{3}$, $y_u = 650$

WaterTech

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 300x_1 + 260x_2 + 220x_3 + 180x_4 - 8y_s - 6y_u \\ \text{s.t.} & 11x_1 + 7x_2 + 6x_3 + 5x_4 \leq 700 \\ & 4x_1 + 6x_2 + 5x_3 + 4x_4 \leq 500 \\ & 8x_1 + 5x_2 + 5x_3 + 6x_4 \leq y_s \\ & 7x_1 + 8x_2 + 7x_3 + 4x_4 \leq y_u \\ & y_s \leq 600 \\ & y_u \leq 650 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_u, y_s \geq 0. \end{array}$$

Optimal solution:
$$x = (16 + \frac{2}{3}, 50, 0, 33 + \frac{1}{3})^T$$
, $y_s = 583 + \frac{1}{3}$, $y_u = 650$

Fractional solutions are often not desirable! Can we force solution to take on only integer values?

An integer program is a linear program with added integrality constraints for some/all variables.

max
$$x_1 + x_2 + 2x_4$$

s.t. $x_1 + x_2 \le 1$
 $-x_2 - x_3 \ge -1$
 $x_1 + x_3 = 1$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$

An integer program is a linear program with added integrality constraints for some/all variables.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & x_1 + x_2 + 2x_4 \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 + x_2 \leq 1 \\ & -x_2 - x_3 \geq -1 \\ & x_1 + x_3 = 1 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \\ & x_1, x_3 \text{ integer.} \end{array}$$

An integer program is a linear program with added integrality constraints for some/all variables.

 We call an IP mixed if there are integer and fractional variables, and pure otherwise.

max
$$x_1 + x_2 + 2x_4$$

s.t. $x_1 + x_2 \le 1$
 $-x_2 - x_3 \ge -1$
 $x_1 + x_3 = 1$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$
 x_1, x_3 integer.

An integer program is a linear program with added integrality constraints for some/all variables.

- We call an IP mixed if there are integer and fractional variables, and pure otherwise.
- Difference between LPs and IPs is subtle. Yet: LPs are easy to solve, IPs are not!

max
$$x_1 + x_2 + 2x_4$$

s.t. $x_1 + x_2 \le 1$
 $-x_2 - x_3 \ge -1$
 $x_1 + x_3 = 1$
 $x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$
 x_1, x_3 integer.

• Integer programs are provably difficult to solve!

- Integer programs are provably difficult to solve!
- Every problem instance has a size which we normally denote by *n*.

.

- Integer programs are provably difficult to solve!
- Every problem instance has a size which we normally denote by *n*.

Think: $n \sim$ number of variables/constraints of IP.

- Integer programs are provably difficult to solve!
- Every problem instance has a size which we normally denote by n.
 - Think: $n \sim$ number of variables/constraints of IP.
- The running time of an algorithm is then the number of steps that an algorithm takes.

- Integer programs are provably difficult to solve!
- Every problem instance has a size which we normally denote by n.
 - Think: $n \sim$ number of variables/constraints of IP.
- The running time of an algorithm is then the number of steps that an algorithm takes.
- It is stated as a function of n: f(n) measures the largest number of steps an algorithm takes on an instance of size n.

• An algorithm is efficient if its running time f(n) is a polynomial of n.

- An algorithm is efficient if its running time f(n) is a polynomial of n.
- LPs can be solved efficiently.



- An algorithm is efficient if its running time f(n) is a polynomial of n.
- LPs can be solved efficiently.
- IPs are very unlikely to admit efficient algorithms!



- An algorithm is efficient if its running time f(n) is a polynomial of n.
- LPs can be solved efficiently.
- IPs are very unlikely to admit efficient algorithms!
- It is very important to look for an efficient algorithm for a problem.
 The table states actual running times of a computer that can execute 1 million operations per second on an instance of size n = 100:



IP Models: Knapsack

• Company wishes to ship crates from Toronto to Kitchener.

- Company wishes to ship crates from Toronto to Kitchener.
- Each crate type has weight and value:

Туре	1	2	3	4	5	6
weight (lbs)	30	20	30	90	30	70
value (\$)	60	70	40	70	20	90

- Company wishes to ship crates from Toronto to Kitchener.
- Each crate type has weight and value:

Туре	1	2	3	4	5	6
weight (lbs)	30	20	30	90	30	70
value (\$)	60	70	40	70	20	90

• Total weight of crates shipped must not exceed 10,000 lbs.

- Company wishes to ship crates from Toronto to Kitchener.
- Each crate type has weight and value:

Туре	1	2	3	4	5	6
weight (lbs)	30	20	30	90	30	70
value (\$)	60	70	40	70	20	90

- Total weight of crates shipped must not exceed 10,000 lbs.
- Goal: Maximize total value of shipped goods.

Variables.

• Variables. One variable x_i for number of crates of type i to pack.

- Variables. One variable x_i for number of crates of type i to pack.
- Constraints.

- Variables. One variable x_i for number of crates of type i to pack.
- Constraints. The total weight of a crates picked must not exceed 10000 lbs.

- Variables. One variable x_i for number of crates of type i to pack.
- Constraints. The total weight of a crates picked must not exceed 10000 lbs.

$$30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$$

- Variables. One variable x_i for number of crates of type i to pack.
- Constraints. The total weight of a crates picked must not exceed 10000 lbs.

$$30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$$

• Objective function: Maximize total value.

- Variables. One variable x_i for number of crates of type i to pack.
- Constraints. The total weight of a crates picked must not exceed 10000 lbs.

$$30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$$

• Objective function: Maximize total value.

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$



max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_i \ge 0 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_i \ge 0 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Let's make this model a bit more interesting...

Suppose that ...

 We must not send more than 10 crates of the same type.

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_i \ge 0 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Suppose that ...

 We must not send more than 10 crates of the same type.

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Suppose that ...

- We must not send more than 10 crates of the same type.
- Can only send crates of type 3, if we send at least 1 crate of type 4.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + \\ & 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6 \\ \text{s.t.} & 30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + \\ & 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \leq 10000 \\ & 0 \leq x_i \leq 10 \quad (i \in [6]) \\ & x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6]) \end{array}$$

Suppose that ...

- We must not send more than 10 crates of the same type.
- Can only send crates of type 3, if we send at least 1 crate of type 4.

Note: Can send at most 10 crates of type 3 by previous constraint!

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & 60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + \\ & 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6 \\ \text{s.t.} & 30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + \\ & 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \leq 10000 \\ & 0 \leq x_i \leq 10 \quad (i \in [6]) \\ & x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6]) \end{array}$$

Suppose that ...

- We must not send more than 10 crates of the same type.
- Can only send crates of type 3, if we send at least 1 crate of type 4.

Note: Can send at most 10 crates of type 3 by previous constraint!

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

KitchTech: Added Conditions

Correctness:

• $x_4 \ge 1 \longrightarrow \text{new}$ constraint is redundant!

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

KitchTech: Added Conditions

Correctness:

- $x_4 \ge 1 \longrightarrow \text{new}$ constraint is redundant!
- $x_4 = 0 \longrightarrow \text{new}$ constraint becomes

$$x_3 < 0$$
.

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Suppose that we must

- take a total of at least 4 crates of type 1 or 2, or
- 2 take at least 4 crates of type 5 or 6.

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Suppose that we must

- take a total of at least 4 crates of type 1 or 2, or
- 2 take at least 4 crates of type 5 or 6.

Ideas?

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Suppose that we must

- take a total of at least 4 crates of type 1 or 2, or
- 2 take at least 4 crates of type 5 or 6.

Ideas?

Create a new variable *y* s.t.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{0} \quad y = 1 \longrightarrow \\
x_1 + x_2 \ge 4,
\end{array}$$

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Create a new variable *y* s.t.

$$y = 1 \longrightarrow x_1 + x_2 \ge 4,$$

$$y = 0 \longrightarrow x_5 + x_6 \ge 4.$$

Force y to take on values 0 or 1.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{max} & 60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + \\ & 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6 \\ \mathsf{s.t.} & 30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + \\ & 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \leq 10000 \\ & x_3 \leq 10x_4 \\ & 0 \leq x_i \leq 10 \quad (i \in [6]) \\ & x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6]) \end{array}$$

Create a new variable *y* s.t.

$$y = 1 \longrightarrow x_1 + x_2 \ge 4,$$

$$y = 0 \longrightarrow x_5 + x_6 \ge 4.$$

Force y to take on values 0 or 1.

1
$$x_1 + x_2 \ge 4y$$

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Create a new variable *y* s.t.

$$y = 1 \longrightarrow x_1 + x_2 \ge 4,$$

$$y = 0 \longrightarrow x_5 + x_6 \ge 4.$$

Force y to take on values 0 or 1.

1
$$x_1 + x_2 \ge 4y$$

$$x_5 + x_6 \ge 4(1-y)$$

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Create a new variable *y* s.t.

$$y = 1 \longrightarrow x_1 + x_2 \ge 4,$$

$$y = 0 \longrightarrow x_5 + x_6 \ge 4.$$

Force y to take on values 0 or 1.

$$1 x_1 + x_2 \ge 4y$$

$$x_5 + x_6 \ge 4(1-y)$$

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Create a new variable *y* s.t.

$$y = 1 \longrightarrow x_1 + x_2 \ge 4,$$

$$y = 0 \longrightarrow x_5 + x_6 \ge 4.$$

Force y to take on values 0 or 1.

$$1 x_1 + x_2 \ge 4y$$

$$x_5 + x_6 \ge 4(1-y)$$

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $x_i \text{ integer} \quad (i \in [6])$

Create a new variable *y* s.t.

$$y = 1 \longrightarrow x_1 + x_2 \ge 4,$$

$$y = 0 \longrightarrow x_5 + x_6 \ge 4.$$

Force y to take on values 0 or 1.

1
$$x_1 + x_2 \ge 4y$$

$$x_5 + x_6 \ge 4(1-y)$$

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $x_1 + x_2 \ge 4y$
 $x_5 + x_6 \ge 4(1 - y)$
 $0 \le y \le 1$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $y \text{ integer}$
 $x_i \text{ integer}$ $(i \in [6])$

Binary Variables

Variable *y* is called a binary variable.

These are very useful for modeling logical constraints of the form:

[Condition (A or B) and C]
$$\longrightarrow$$
 D

Will see more examples ...

max
$$60x_1 + 70x_2 + 40x_3 + 70x_4 + 20x_5 + 90x_6$$

s.t. $30x_1 + 20x_2 + 30x_3 + 90x_4 + 30x_5 + 70x_6 \le 10000$
 $x_3 \le 10x_4$
 $x_1 + x_2 \ge 4y$
 $x_5 + x_6 \ge 4(1 - y)$
 $0 \le y \le 1$
 $0 \le x_i \le 10 \quad (i \in [6])$
 $y \text{ integer}$
 $x_i \text{ integer}$ $(i \in [6])$

IP Models: Scheduling

• The neighbourhood coffee shop is open on workdays.



- The neighbourhood coffee shop is open on workdays.
- Daily demand for workers:

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7



- The neighbourhood coffee shop is open on workdays.
- Daily demand for workers:

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7



- The neighbourhood coffee shop is open on workdays.
- Daily demand for workers:

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7

e.g.: work: Mon, Tue, Wed,

Thu; off: Fri

or work: Wed, Thu, Fri,

Mon; off: Tue



- The neighbourhood coffee shop is open on workdays.
- Daily demand for workers:

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7

e.g.: work: Mon, Tue, Wed,

Thu; off: Fri

or work: Wed, Thu, Fri,

Mon; off: Tue

 Goal: hire the smallest number of workers so that the demand can be met!



- The neighbourhood coffee shop is open on workdays.
- Daily demand for workers:

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7

e.g.: work: Mon, Tue, Wed,

Thu; off: Fri

or work: Wed, Thu, Fri,

Mon; off: Tue

 Goal: hire the smallest number of workers so that the demand can be met!







Variables. What do we need to decide on?

1 Variables. What do we need to decide on? → introduce variable x_d for every $d \in \{M, T, W, Th, F\}$ counting the number of people to hire with starting day d.

- **●** Variables. What do we need to decide on? \rightarrow introduce variable x_d for every $d \in \{M, T, W, Th, F\}$ counting the number of people to hire with starting day d.
- Objective function. What do we want to minimize?

- Variables. What do we need to decide on?
 - \rightarrow introduce variable x_d for every $d \in \{M, T, W, Th, F\}$ counting the number of people to hire with starting day d.
- 2 Objective function. What do we want to minimize?
 - \rightarrow the total number of people hired:

$$\min x_M + x_T + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F.$$

- Variables. What do we need to decide on?
 - \rightarrow introduce variable x_d for every $d \in \{M, T, W, Th, F\}$ counting the number of people to hire with starting day d.
- Objective function. What do we want to minimize?
 - \rightarrow the total number of people hired:

$$\min x_M + x_T + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F.$$

3 Constraints. Need to ensure that enough people work on each of the days.

- **●** Variables. What do we need to decide on? \rightarrow introduce variable x_d for every $d \in \{M, T, W, Th, F\}$ counting the number of people to hire with starting day d.
- Objective function. What do we want to minimize? → the total number of people hired:

$$\min x_M + x_T + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F$$
.

Constraints. Need to ensure that enough people work on each of the days.

Question: Given a solution $(x_M, x_T, x_W, x_{Th}, x_F)$, how many people work on Monday?

- **●** Variables. What do we need to decide on? \rightarrow introduce variable x_d for every $d \in \{M, T, W, Th, F\}$ counting the number of people to hire with starting day d.
- Objective function. What do we want to minimize? → the total number of people hired:

$$\min x_M + x_T + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F.$$

Constraints. Need to ensure that enough people work on each of the days.

Question: Given a solution $(x_M, x_T, x_W, x_{Th}, x_F)$, how many people work on Monday?

All but those that start on Tuesday; i.e.,

$$x_M + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F$$
.

[Daily Demand]

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7

$$x_{M} + x_{W} + x_{Th} + x_{F} \ge 3$$

[Daily Demand]

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7

Monday:

$$x_M + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 3$$

$$x_M + x_T + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 5$$

[Daily Demand]

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7

Monday: Tuesday: Wednesday:

$$x_M + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 3$$

 $x_M + x_T + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 5$
 $x_M + x_T + x_W + x_F > 9$

[Daily Demand]

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7

Monday:

Tuesday:

Wednesday:

Thursday:

$$x_M + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 3$$

$$x_M + x_T + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 5$$

$$x_M + x_T + x_W + x_F \ge 9$$

$$x_M + x_T + x_W + x_T \ge 2$$

[Daily Demand]

Mon	Tues	Wed	Thurs	Fri
3	5	9	2	7

Monday: Tuesday:

Wednesday:

Thursday:

Friday:

 $x_{M} + x_{W} + x_{Th} + x_{F} \ge 3$

$$x_M + x_T + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 5$$

$$x_M + x_T + x_W + x_F \ge 9$$

$$x_M + x_T + x_W + x_T \ge 2$$

$$x_T + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 7$$

Scheduling LP

min
$$x_M + x_T + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F$$

s.t. $x_M + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 3$
 $x_M + x_T + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 5$
 $x_M + x_T + x_W + x_F \ge 9$
 $x_M + x_T + x_W + x_T \ge 2$
 $x_T + x_W + x_{Th} + x_F \ge 7$
 $x \ge \cancel{\vdash}, x \text{ integer}$

Quiz

Given an integer program with integer variables x_1, \ldots, x_6 . Let

$$S := \{127, 289, 1310, 2754\}.$$

We want to add constraints and/or variables to the IP that enforce that the $x_1 + ... + x_6$ is in S. How?

Given an integer program with integer variables x_1, \ldots, x_6 . Let

$$\mathcal{S} := \{127, 289, 1310, 2754\}.$$

We want to add constraints and/or variables to the IP that enforce that the $x_1 + ... + x_6$ is in S. How?

• Add binary variables y_{127} , y_{289} , y_{1310} , y_{2754} , one for each $i \in S$.

Given an integer program with integer variables x_1, \ldots, x_6 . Let

$$S := \{127, 289, 1310, 2754\}.$$

We want to add constraints and/or variables to the IP that enforce that the $x_1 + ... + x_6$ is in S. How?

- Add binary variables $y_{127}, y_{289}, y_{1310}, y_{2754}$, one for each $i \in S$.
- Want: Exactly one of these variables is 1 in a feasible solution.

Quiz

Given an integer program with integer variables x_1, \ldots, x_6 . Let

$$S := \{127, 289, 1310, 2754\}.$$

We want to add constraints and/or variables to the IP that enforce that the $x_1 + ... + x_6$ is in S. How?

- Add binary variables y_{127} , y_{289} , y_{1310} , y_{2754} , one for each $i \in S$.
- Want: Exactly one of these variables is 1 in a feasible solution.
- If $y_n=1$ for $n\in\mathcal{S}$ then $\sum_{i=1}^6 x_i=n$

Add the following constraints:

$$y_{127} + y_{289} + y_{1310} + y_{2754} = 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} iy_i$$
 $0 \le y_i \le 1, \quad y_i \text{ integer} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{S}$

Add the following constraints:

$$y_{127} + y_{289} + y_{1310} + y_{2754} = 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_i = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} i y_i$$
 $0 \le y_i \le 1, \quad y_i \text{ integer} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{S}$

Why is the resulting IP correct?

• An integer program is obtained by adding integrality constraints for some/all of the variables to an LP.

- An integer program is obtained by adding integrality constraints for some/all of the variables to an LP.
- An algorithm is efficient if its running time can be bounded by a polynomial of the input size of the instance.

- An integer program is obtained by adding integrality constraints for some/all of the variables to an LP.
- An algorithm is efficient if its running time can be bounded by a polynomial of the input size of the instance.
- While LPs admit efficient algorithms, IPs are unlikely to have efficient algorithms. Thus, whenever possible, formulate a problem as an LP!

- An integer program is obtained by adding integrality constraints for some/all of the variables to an LP.
- An algorithm is efficient if its running time can be bounded by a polynomial of the input size of the instance.
- While LPs admit efficient algorithms, IPs are unlikely to have efficient algorithms. Thus, whenever possible, formulate a problem as an LP!
- Variables that can take value 0 or 1 only are called binary.

- An integer program is obtained by adding integrality constraints for some/all of the variables to an LP.
- An algorithm is efficient if its running time can be bounded by a polynomial of the input size of the instance.
- While LPs admit efficient algorithms, IPs are unlikely to have efficient algorithms. Thus, whenever possible, formulate a problem as an LP!
- Variables that can take value 0 or 1 only are called binary.
- Binary variables are useful for expressing logical conditions.